1 Corey Eib c/o 16045 Sherman Way #H-63 Van Nuys, California Non-Domestic CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 3 Email: EibvCHP@gmail.com 4 In Pro Per 5 Sherri R. Carter Executive Officer/Cler 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 Case No.: CJ 56670 11 The People of the State of California, Notice of Motion 12 Plaintiff, and Motion to Strike; Memorandum of Points and Authorities; Exhibits 1 and 2 13 VS. 14 Corey Eib, Date: June 22 10:00 am Time: 10:00 am 15 Defendant Dept: 102 16 17 18 TO THE COURT, PROSECUTING LAW ENFORCEMENT and to the PEOPLE 19 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND/OR THEIR REPRESENTATIVE(s) 20 in this case: PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT On June 22 at 10:00am 21 or as soon thereafter as the Court shall allow, Defendant 22 will and hereby does move the Court for an Order to Strike. 23 24 Said motion shall be made upon the contents of the Court's 25 file on this case, the arguments by the parties, testimony 26 of the defendant if needed, and any such evidence as 27 28

Notice of Motion, Motion to Strike - 1

presented and allowed by the Court at the time of the hearing on this motion.

3

4

2

#### I. INTRODUCTION

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Defendant is domiciled in one of the several states (See Points and Authorities 1), a foreign jurisdiction to the Federal Government, thus the authority and address designation to deliver mail to Defendant within the jurisdiction of his state is by way of an authority which predates the United States Postal Service (USPS). The Federal Agency which predates the USPS is the United States Post-Office Department established by the Postal Service Act and signed by President George Washington on February 20 1792. This act authorized the new agency to deliver mails, create mail routes, and erect post office buildings, and other necessary functions within the boundaries of the several states and under exclusive Federal Authority, for the purposes of delivering mail to and among the citizens of the United States (See Points and Authorities 6), business, and industry of the several states.

22

24

25

26

27

The USPS inherited both the assets and liabilities of the Post Office Department via the 1970 Post Office Reorganization Act. One of the liabilities inherited by the USPS from the Post Office Dept., among many other liabilities, is providing mail to citizens of the several states within the boundaries and jurisdiction of the

several states without undue burden or complex regulation as a necessary element of free citizens expecting free mail delivery from their government (See Points and Authorities 4).

In all mailing address information used by the Prosecution on the People's proof of service to Defendant, and other documents, the Prosecution has used a Federal Delivery Endpoint Address Format which complies with the complex and burdensome USPS Domestic Mailing Manual and the Federal Government's Zoning Improvement Plan.

Defendant's mailing address is an effective, traditional and simple unambiguous mailing address that both effectively communicates to the USPS the intended delivery location and denotes a delivery endpoint outside the jurisdiction of the United States (the Federal Government) and within the jurisdiction of Defendant's domicile, the State of California as defined by its Constitution of 1849.

Defendant's use of a Non-Domestic mailing address is compliant with information necessary for the USPS to meet its inherited liability to regularly deliver the mail within the boundaries of the several states to and for the benefit of the citizens of the several states (See Points and Authorities  $^7$ ).

II. IT IS UNFAIR AND PREJUDICIAL AGAINST DEFENDANT FOR
THE PROSECUTION TO CONVERT DEFENDANT'S NON-DOMESTIC
MAILING ADDRESS TO A FEDERAL JURISDICTION DELIVERY
ENDPOINT WITHOUT CONSENT OF DEFENDANT ON CRITICAL
OR OTHER COURT RELATED DOCUMENTS.

The mailing address supplied to the court by Mr. Bemiller of the CHP is in a mandatory section of the complaint that is not correct. Mr. Bemiller persisted in subscribing under penalty of perjury Defendant's address information submitted to the court as being a true and correct mailing address despite verbal notifications from Defendant the address is not valid (See Points and Authorities 1, 8).

The mailing address used by Defendant on court filed documents as his true and correct mailing address and is unambiguously not a Federal jurisdiction delivery endpoint subject to mandatory compliance with USPS Domestic Mailing Manual Regulations as well as burdensome and complex Federal Zoning Improvement Plan requirements.

The Prosecution has taken upon itself, and with no foundation or consent from Defendant, to quietly ignore the incorrect address provided by Mr. Bemiller on the charging document, to instead use a different address format completely made up by the Prosecution according the standards of the confusing and complex Federal Zoning Improvement Plan and the USPS Domestic Mailing Manual on all vital and necessary correspondence sent to Defendant and appearing in the record of this court (See Exhibits 1 and 2).

It is not fair and is extremely prejudicial to Defendant for the Court to recognize as valid, the Prosecution mandating Defendant use and comply with the complex and confusing USPS Domestic Mailing Manual and the Federal Government's Zoning Improvement Plan as a condition of receiving free mail delivery from the court and the City Attorney as process of service. Defendant is under no obligation to these Federal Authorities for purposes of receiving free mail delivery (See Points and Authorities 4).

Defendant rebuts the presumption of the Prosecution that his presence in the United States is authorized under Federal Law, and is therefore authorized to take delivery of mail intended for delivery to a Federal jurisdiction endpoint (See Points and Authorities <sup>1</sup>).

Defendant is not required to comply with burdensome rules and regulations of the USPS Domestic Mailing Manual or the Federal Government's Zoning Improvement Plan (See Points and Authorities 4).

The false presumption created by the Prosecution by intentionally and without consent repeatedly and wilffully converting Defendants mailing address to the jurisdiction of the Federal Government is prejudicial against Defendant and violates Defendant's secured right to domicile within the Boundaries as stated in the 1849 Constitution of the State of California as well as Defendant's expectation of government sanctioned and lawfully provided for postal service within the territorial limits and jurisdiction of Defendant's home state.

The Prosecution must use the mailing address provided on the complaint by Bemiller, or provide a notice of change of address by the citing officer or other authority. In the alternative, the Prosecution must use a mailing address provided by the Defendant.

# III. The Prosecution does not have the authority to dictate to Defendant what his mailing address is.

Defendant's mailing address is a Non-Domestic mailing address to a physical location within the boundaries as

stated in the 1849 Constitution of the State of California (See 1879 California Constitution Article III Section 2).

There is no USPS mandatory requirement for Defendant to utilize mails via the Federal Zoning Improvement Plan or compliance with the Domestic Mailing Manual or other purely Federal Jurisdiction for the expectation of mail delivery service within the several states (See Points and Authorities <sup>5</sup>).

Via the Postal Service Act of 1792, and the Postal Reorganization Act of 1970, the USPS has both the authority and liability to deliver Defendant's mail free of charge to the indicated Non-Domestic delivery endpoint within the boundaries as stated in the 1849 Constitution of the State of California as Defendant has listed on all court filed documents.

#### DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE

Defendant moves the court to strike from the record the domestic mailing address information denoting a Federal Zoning Improvement Plan delivery endpoint used by the City Attorney on court filed papers as follows:

 1. Proof of Service; Line 12, Page 11 PEOPLE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF REQUESTED DISCOVERY (Exhibit 1)

- 2.Line 15, page 11 PEOPLE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF REQUESTED DISCOVERY (Exhibit 2)
- 3. Any other official court document which contains a prejudicial mailing address used by the Prosecution and intended to denote Defendant's mailing address is within either the authority of the Federal Government's Zoning Improvement Plan, or is subject to mandatory compliance with the complex and confusing USPS Domestic Mailing Manual.

#### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

- See Defendant's Declaration filed May 11, 2016.
- See USPS Domestic Mailing Manual pages 1 through 1,248 available at USPS.com
- See USPS Domestic Mailing Manual A000 Basic Addressing, A010 Standard Addressing Formats.
- See United States Postal Reorganization Act Public Law 91-375 91<sup>st</sup> Congress, H.R. 17070 August 12, 1970 §403(c) "In providing services and in establishing classifications, rates, and fees under this title, the Postal Service shall not, except as specifically authorized in this title, make any undue or unreasonable discrimination among the users of the mails, nor shall it grant any undue or unreasonable preferences to any such user."

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

or the term "United States" can be used to describe the 1 3 4 5 7 9 10

country as a nation among a family of nations. The use of the term "United States" at reference 6 is with the meaning of the citizens of the several states, as a complete political body of all of them combined and represented by Congress, a body of citizens contemplated at Article 4 Section 2 Clause 1 of the Federal Constitution.  $\frac{7}{2}$  See United States of America Constitution, Article 4, Section 2, Clause 1.

8 See California Judicial Council Publication TR-INST NOTICE TO APPEAR AND RELATED FORMS

12

13

11

#### CONCLUSION

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The prosecution is using address information that is prejudicial to Defendant and is not sourced from or consented to by Defendant on the Prosecution's proof(s) of service and other important documents filed with this court, as well as required correspondence sent via USPS to Defendant.

22

23

24

25

27

It is not fair and is prejudicial to Defendant to allow the Prosecution to continue to use complex and confusing mail coding information on proof of service and other court documents as a condition of receiving free delivery of USPS mail. For the court to allow the Prosecution to continue with an imposed and prejudicial Federal Address Mail

Format; requires the court to presume without any foundation or bona fide consent, and in the face of clear rebuttal by Defendant, that Defendant's presence in Federal Jurisdiction is by voluntary consent and is authorized under Federal Law. This presumption is false.

Mail sent by the Prosecution to Defendant is formatted to a Federal Jurisdiction delivery endpoint via willful and injurious incorrect address information used by the Prosecution. This format used by the Prosecution corresponds with the incorrect address information filed by Mr. Bemiller of the CHP and does not correspond with the address information provided by Defendant. The address

Defendant has a fundamental right to due process, an obvious and injurious inaccurate record denoting false Federal Jurisdiction, denies Defendant's fundamental right to due process.

format used by the prosecution is not an accurate record of

Defendant's mailing address.

The accurate address information for which the court and the prosecution can mail documents via the USPS to Defendant is as follows:

26 || >>

27 || >>

Corey Eib
c/o 16045 Sherman Way #H-63
Van Nuys, California
Non-Domestic

Any other address coding information used by the Prosecution may cause an unnecessary and unfair delay in Defendant's receipt of important court documents, requires Defendant to become familiar with the Federal Government's Zoning Improvement Plan requirements as well as the requirements of the complex Domestic Mailing Manual, is prejudicial to Defendant's declared domicile, and is an unfair misrepresentation of the court record.

The Court should sustain Defendant's motion to strike all incorrect and prejudicial information from this record as described above as well as any other sanctions the court finds appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated this 8th day of June, 2016

## Exhibit 1

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

I, ANN J. ROSENTHAL, declare as follows: That I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Los Angeles; that I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within action or proceeding; that my office address is: Los Angeles City Attorney's Office, 6262 Van Nuys Blvd., Room 160, Van Nuys, California 91401.

That on May 5, 2016, I served the within PEOPLE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF REQUESTED DISCOVERY; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES; EXHIBITS as indicated below:

[X] By mailing a true copy addressed to the person(s) indicated below.

Corey Brandon Eib 16045 Sherman Way, # H-63 Van Nuys, CA 91406

[ ] By facsimile to the person(s) and fax number(s) indicated below. The facsimile machine I used complied with California Rules of Court, Rule 2003, and the facsimile transmission described above was reported as complete and without error. A copy of the facsimile transmission report is attached to the original Proof of Service filed with the Court.

[ ] By personal service to the person(s) indicated below.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 5, 2016, at Van Nuys, California.

ANN J. ROSENTHAL Declarant

PEOPLE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF REQUESTED DISCOVERY - 11

### Exhibit 2

1

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

26

25

27 28

I, ANN J. ROSENTHAL, declare as follows: That I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Los Angeles; that I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within action or proceeding; that my office address is: Los Angeles City Attorney's Office, 6262 Van Nuys Blvd., Room 160, Van Nuys, California 91401.

That on May 5, 2016, I served the within PEOPLE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF REQUESTED DISCOVERY; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES; EXHIBITS as indicated below:

[X] By mailing a true copy addressed to the person(s) indicated below. I am readily familiar with the City Attorney's Office's practice for collection and processing of documents for mailing with the United States Postal Service. This envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on the date indicated hereon, following ordinary business practices. The documents are then deposited with the United State Postal Service that same day they are postmarked, in the ordinary course of business.

Corey Brandon Eib 16045 Sherman Way, # H-63 Van Nuys, CA 91406

By facsimile to the person(s) and fax number(s) indicated below. The facsimile machine I used complied with California Rules of Court, Rule 2003, and the facsimile transmission described above was reported as complete and without error. A copy of the facsimile transmission report is attached to the original Proof of Service filed with the Court.

By personal service to the person(s) indicated below.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on May 5, 2016, at Van Nuys, California.

> ANN J. ROSENTHAL Declarant

PEOPLE'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL DISCLOSURE OF REQUESTED DISCOVERY - 11

Proof of Service	
State of California )	
County of Los Angeles )	
County of Los / mgeles /	
I, Ed Brothers, declare as follows:	
The state of the s	
That I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within	action or proceeding;
that my address is:	
11921 Weddington 8+ #305 Valley Village	e CA 7/607
V. Comments of the comment of the co	
That on June 8th, 2016, I served the within Los Angeles Superior C	ourt Case #CJ 56370;
Notice of Motion and Motion to to Strike; Memorandum of Points and A	uthorities; Exhibits 1
and 2, as indicated below:	
[ X ] By mailing a true copy, first class postage fully paid and addre	essed to the Los
Angeles City Attorney at the mailing address indicated below.	
[ ] By personal service the person(s) indicated below.	
Los Angeles City Attorney, Van Nuys 6262 Van Nuys Blvd Van Nuys, CA 91401	
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California	that the foregoing is
true and correct. Executed on 6/8/16 , at Los Ma	recles,
California.	
Harl Posts Declarant	_
Declarant	
Proof of Service /Corey Eib/Defendant	Page 1

Proof of Service	
State of California )	
County of Los Angeles )	
I,, declare as follows:	
That I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within action	or proceeding;
hat my address is:	
That on June 8th, 2016, I served the within Los Angeles Superior Court Court	Case #CJ 56370;
Notice of Motion and Motion to to Strike; Memorandum of Points and Author	rities; Exhibits 1
and 2, as indicated below:	
[ X ] By mailing a true copy, first class postage fully paid and addressed	to the Los
Angeles City Attorney at the mailing address indicated below.	
[ ] By personal service the person(s) indicated below.	
Los Angeles City Attorney, Van Nuys	
6262 Van Nuys Blvd Van Nuys, CA 91401	
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the	he foregoing is
rue and correct. Executed on, at	,
California.	
>×	
Declarant	
Proof of Service /Corey Eib/Defendant	Page 1

П

1	
2	Proof of Service
3	State of California
4	County of Los Angeles )
5	
6	I, Ed Brothers, declare as follows:
7	
8	That I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within action or proceeding;
9	that my address is:
LO	11921 Weddington St #305 Valley Village OA 91607
11	
12	That on June 8th, 2016, I served the within Los Angeles Superior Court Case #CJ 56370;
13	Notice of Motion and Motion to to Strike; Memorandum of Points and Authorities; Exhibits 1
14	and 2, as indicated below:
15	
16	[ X ] By mailing a true copy, first class postage fully paid and addressed to the Los
17	Angeles City Attorney at the mailing address indicated below.
18	[ ] By personal service the person(s) indicated below.
19	Los Angeles City Attorney, Van Nuys 6262 Van Nuys Blvd
20	Van Nuys, CA 91401
21	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is
22	true and correct. Executed on 6/8/16, at 105 Augustes,
23	
24	California.
25	
26	Acul Patto Declarant
	Declarant

Page 1

Proof of Service /Corey Eib/Defendant